arXiv:0909.0080v2 [math.AP] 6 Oct 2009

Generalized wave operators for a system of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions

Hideo Kubo (Corresponding author)
Division of Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Sciences,
Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan
Phone and Fax: +81-22-795-4628
E-mail: kubo@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp

Kôji Kubota
A professor emeritus from Hokkaido University,
Sapporo 060-0808, Japan

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the final value problem for a system of nonlinear wave equations. The main issue is to solve the problem for the case where the nonlinearity is of a long range type. By assuming that the solution is spherically symmetric, we shall show global solvability of the final value problem around a suitable final state, and hence the generalized wave operator and long range scattering operator can be constructed.

Keywords: Final value problem, system of nonlinear wave equations, generalized wave operator, long range scattering operator.

0 Introduction

This paper is a continuation of a previous one [5], in which we have studied the global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the system of semilinear wave equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = |\partial_t v|^p & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_t^2 v - \Delta v = |\partial_t u|^q & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{0.1}$$

where $1 < p \leq q$. Assuming

$$q(p-1) > 2, \tag{0.2}$$

we have shown that the initial value problem for (0.1) has a global solution if the initial data are radilally symmetric and sufficiently small (notice that if (0.2) fails true, then the classical solution to (0.1) blows up in finite time however small the initial data are, in general, due to Deng [1]). In the present paper we consider a problem whether the scattering operator for (0.1) can be defined or not.

When $p > 2$, the global solution has the "free profile". Therefore, in this case, one can expect that the scattering operator is defined by $S = (W_+)^{-1}W_-$, following Lax and Phillips [6]. Here the wave operators W_+ and W_- are obtained by solving the final value problem for (0.1). In fact, for a given final state (u^+, v^+) which is a solution to the system of homogeneous wave equations:

$$\partial_t^2 u^+ - \Delta u^+ = 0, \quad \partial_t^2 v^+ - \Delta v^+ = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{0.3}$$

if we found a unique solution (u, v) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty)$ to (0.1) satisfying

$$\|u(t) - u^+(t)\|_E + \|v(t) - v^+(t)\|_E \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty, \tag{0.4}$$

then W_+ is defined by

$$(u^+, \partial_t u^+, v^+, \partial_t v^+)(x, 0) \longmapsto (u, \partial_t u, v, \partial_t v)(x, 0).$$

Here $\|w(t)\|_E$ stands for the energy norm of $w(x, t)$, i.e.,

$$\|w(t)\|_E^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\partial_t w(x,t)|^2 + |\partial_x w(x,t)|^2) dx.$$

Analogously, W_- is defined by replacing "$t \to \infty$" in (0.4) by "$t \to -\infty$".

On the other hand, when $1 < p \leq 2$, the nonlinearity becomes of a long range type in the sense that the solution to the initial value problem for (0.1) exists globally in time but does not approach to any free solution. In fact, we have shown in [5] that the energy of the global solution is not generically bounded for large $t > 0$, so that it can not be asymptotic to any free solution of finte energy. Nevertheless, we proved that the global solution (u, v) to the problem has a "generalized profile". More precisely, letting $(\bar{w}, \bar{v})$ be a solution of

$$\partial_t^2 \bar{w} - \Delta \bar{w} = F(x, t), \quad \partial_t^2 \bar{v} - \Delta \bar{v} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \tag{0.5}$$

with a suitable $F(x,t)$, we have

$$\|u(t)-\bar{w}(t)\|_E + \|v(t)-\bar{v}(t)\|_E \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t\to\infty. \tag{0.6}$$

For instance, $F(x,t)=|\partial_t \bar{v}(x,t)|^p$ when $0\le 2-p<q(p-1)-2$. These results imply that it is impossible to construct the wave operators W_+ and W_-, in general, and suggest us to modify the definition of wave operators for (0.1) when $1<p\le 2$.

As in [5], we deal with only radially symmetric solution of (0.1) in the present paper. For this, we write

$$u(x,t)=u_1(|x|,t), \quad v(x,t)=u_2(|x|,t). \tag{0.7}$$

Then (0.1) becomes to

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} \partial_t^2 u_1 - \left(\partial_r^2+\frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right)u_1 = |\partial_t u_2|^p & \text{in} & r>0,\ t\in\mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_t^2 u_2 - \left(\partial_r^2+\frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right)u_2 = |\partial_t u_1|^q & \text{in} & r>0,\ t\in\mathbb{R}. \end{array}\right. \tag{0.8}$$

We wish to compare the nonlinear evolution under (0.8) with the linear evolution obeying

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} \partial_t^2 w - \left(\partial_r^2+\frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right)w = F(r,t) & \text{for} & r>0,\ t\in\mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_t^2 v - \left(\partial_r^2+\frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right)v = 0 & \text{for} & r>0,\ t\in\mathbb{R} \end{array}\right. \tag{0.9}$$

with a suitably chosen $F(r,t)$, as $t\to\pm\infty$. Actually, we are able to realize this by assuming the following slightly stronger condition than (0.2):

$$(p-1)^2(q-1)>1 \tag{0.10}$$

(for the detail, see Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 below). Since we are able to solve the initial value problem in the same function space (see Theorem 2.6 below), these results lead us to a construction of a long range scattering operator for (0.1).

We remark that this kind of modification goes back to the seminal work of Ozawa [7] for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (see also [2, 3, 4, 8], for instance). To our knowledge, this paper provides the first result on the wave equation in this direction. In order to treat the system (0.8), we need to overcome a difficulty to handle the nonlinearities with small powers p which can be close to 1 under the assumption (0.10). For this reason, we shall construct a generalized final state, which solves (0.9), by using an iteration (see (2.30), (2.31)). Then we shall prove the solvability of the nonlinear system (0.8) around the generalized final state by introduing a suitable metric space given by (4.36).

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we collect notation. In the section 2 we present our main results. The section 3 is a summary of [5, Section 4]. We refine Theorem 6 and the part (ii) of Theorem 7 in [5] so that one can take a parameter γ to be positive. The section 4 is devoted to prove the main theorems.

1 Notation

First we introduce a class of initial data:

$$Y_\nu(\varepsilon) = \{\vec{f} = (f, g) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \times C(\mathbb{R})\ ;\ \vec{f}(-r) = \vec{f}(r)\ (r \in \mathbb{R}),$$
$$r\vec{f}(r) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \times C^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \sup_{r>0} (1+r)^\nu \|\vec{f}(r)\| \le \varepsilon\},$$

where $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$\|\vec{f}(r)\| = |f(r)| + (1+r)(|f'(r)| + |g(r)|) + r(|f''(r)| + |g'(r)|).$$

Next we define several function spaces and norms. Let $s = 1$ or $s = 2$. First of all, we introduce a basic space of our argument:

$$X^s = \{u(r,t) \in C^{s-1}(\mathbb{R} \times [0,\infty))\ ;\ ru(r,t) \in C^s(\mathbb{R} \times [0,\infty)),$$
$$u(-r,t) = u(r,t) \text{ for } (r,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0,\infty)\}.$$

For $r > 0$ and $t \ge 0$ we put

$$[u(r,t)]_2 = |u(r,t)| + (1+r)\sum_{|\alpha|=1} |\partial^\alpha u(r,t)| + r\sum_{|\alpha|=2} |\partial^\alpha u(r,t)|$$

if $u \in X^2$, and

$$[u(r,t)]_1 = |u(r,t)| + r\sum_{|\alpha|=1} |\partial^\alpha u(r,t)|$$

if $u \in X^1$, where $\partial = (\partial_r, \partial_t)$ and α is a multi-index. For $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, we define Banach spaces:

$$X^s(\nu) = \{u(r,t) \in X^s\ ;\ \|u\|_{X^s(\nu)} < \infty\},$$
$$Z^s(\nu) = \{u(r,t) \in X^s\ ;\ \|u\|_{Z^s(\nu)} < \infty\},$$

where we have set

$$\|u\|_{X^s(\nu)} = \sup_{r>0,\, t\ge 0} [u(r,t)]_s\, (1 + |r-t|)^\nu, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\|u\|_{Z^s(\nu)} = \sup_{r>0,\, t\ge 0} [u(r,t)]_s\, (1 + r + t)^{\nu-1}(1 + |r-t|). \tag{1.2}$$

Notice that $X^s(\nu) \subset Z^s(\nu)$ if $\nu \le 1$, while $Z^s(\nu) \subset X^s(\nu)$ if $\nu \ge 1$.

For notational symplicity, we shall denote $\|w(|\cdot|, t)\|_E$ by $\|w(t)\|_E$ for a function $w(r,t)$.

2 Main Results

2.1 Existence of wave operators

When $p > 2$, the evolution obeying (0.8) is well characterized by the homogeneous wave equation. For this, we first recall the known fact about the initial value problem for the homogeneous wave equation (see e.g. [5]) :

$$u_{tt} - \left(u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u_r\right) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (0,\infty) \times (0,\infty), \tag{2.1}$$

$$(u, \partial_t u)(r, 0) = \vec{f}(r) \quad \text{for} \quad r > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

The solution of this problem is expressed by

$$K[\vec{f}](r,t) = \frac{1}{2r}\left\{\int_{r-t}^{r+t} \lambda g(\lambda)d\lambda + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{r-t}^{r+t} \lambda f(\lambda)d\lambda\right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover we have

Proposition 2.1 *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\nu > 0$. If $\vec{f} \in Y_\nu(\varepsilon)$, then $K[\vec{f}] \in X^2(\nu)$ and*

$$\|K[\vec{f}]\|_{X^2(\nu)} \le C\varepsilon \tag{2.4}$$

holds, where C is a constant depending only on ν.

We set

$$\kappa_1 = p - 1, \quad \kappa_2 = q - 1 \tag{2.5}$$

for $p > 2$. Then we see κ_1, $\kappa_2 > 1$. Our main result in this subsection is as follows.

Theorem 2.2 (*Existence of a wave operator*) *Let $1 < p \le q$. Suppose that $p > 2$. Then there is a positive number ε_0 (depending only on p and q) such that one can define the wave operator $W_+ = (W_+^{(1)}, W_+^{(2)})$ from $Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon_0) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon_0)$ to $Y_{\kappa_1}(2\varepsilon_0) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(2\varepsilon_0)$ by*

$$W_+^{(j)}[\vec{f_1}, \vec{f_2}](r) = (u_j, \partial_t u_j)(r, 0) \quad (j = 1, 2), \tag{2.6}$$

where $(u_1, u_2) \in X^2(\kappa_1) \times X^2(\kappa_2)$ is a unique solution of (0.8) satisfying

$$\|u_1(t) - K[\vec{f_1}](t)\|_E + \|u_2(t) - K[\vec{f_2}](t)\|_E \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty \tag{2.7}$$

for each $(\vec{f_1},\vec{f_2}) \in Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon_0)\times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon_0)$*. Moreover, we have for* $r>0$

$$\|W_+^{(1)}[\vec{f_1},\vec{f_2}](r)-\vec{f_1}(r)\|(1+r)^{\kappa_1} \le C\varepsilon^p, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\|W_+^{(2)}[\vec{f_1},\vec{f_2}](r)-\vec{f_2}(r)\|(1+r)^{\kappa_2} \le C\varepsilon^q, \tag{2.9}$$

provided $\vec{f_j} \in Y_{\kappa_j}(\varepsilon)$ $(j=1,2)$ *and* $0<\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_0$*, where* C *is a constant depending only on* p *and* q*.*

Our next step is to construct the inverse of W_+, based on the existence result given in Theorem 1 of [5] about the initial value problem for (0.8) with

$$(u_1,\partial_t u_1)(r,0)=\vec{\varphi}_1(r),\ (u_2,\partial_t u_2)(r,0)=\vec{\varphi}_2(r) \quad \text{for} \quad r>0. \tag{2.10}$$

Let $(u_1,u_2)\in X^2(\kappa_1)\times X^2(\kappa_2)$ be the unique solution of the problem satisfying

$$\|u_1\|_{X^2(\kappa_1)}+\|u_2\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \le 2C_0\varepsilon \tag{2.11}$$

with C_0 the canstant in (2.4). Note that (u_1,u_2) satisfies the following system of integral equations:

$$u_1=K[\vec{\varphi}_1]+L(|\partial_t u_2|^p), \quad u_2=K[\vec{\varphi}_2]+L(|\partial_t u_1|^q). \tag{2.12}$$

(See e.g. [5]). Using the solution (u_1,u_2), we define

$$w=u_1-R(|\partial_t u_2|^p), \quad v=u_2-R(|\partial_t u_1|^q), \tag{2.13}$$

where L and R are the integral operators associated with the inhomogeneous wave equation whose definition will be given in (3.3) and (3.8) below, respectively. If we set

$$\vec{f_1}(r)=(w(r,0),\partial_t w(r,0)), \quad \vec{f_2}(r)=(v(r,0),\partial_t v(r,0)) \tag{2.14}$$

for $r>0$, then we see that

$$w=K[\vec{f_1}], \quad v=K[\vec{f_2}]. \tag{2.15}$$

Now we state the result for the inverse of W_+.

Theorem 2.3 (*Existence of the inverse of a wave operator*) *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 hold. Then there exists a positive number* ε_0 *(depending only on* p *and* q*) such that for any* $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0]$*, one can define* $(W_+)^{-1}$ *by* $(\vec{\varphi}_1,\vec{\varphi}_2)\in Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon)\times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon)\longmapsto(\vec{f_1},\vec{f_2})\in Y_{\kappa_1}(2\varepsilon)\times Y_{\kappa_2}(2\varepsilon)$ *so that (2.7) is valid. Here* (u_1,u_2)

is the solution of (2.12) *satisfying* (2.11), *and* $(\vec{f_1}, \vec{f_2})$ *is defined by* (2.14) *for* $(\vec{\varphi}_1, \vec{\varphi}_2) \in Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon)$.

Moreover, we have for $r > 0$

$$\||\vec{f_1}(r) - \vec{\varphi}_1(r)\||(1+r)^{\kappa_1} \le C\varepsilon^p, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\||\vec{f_2}(r) - \vec{\varphi}_2(r)\||(1+r)^{\kappa_2} \le C\varepsilon^q, \tag{2.17}$$

provided $\vec{\varphi}_j \in Y_{\kappa_j}(\varepsilon)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ *and* $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, *where* C *is a constant depending only on* p *and* q.

Remark. Now we are in a position to conclude the existence of a scattering operator for (0.1). As we have constructed W_+ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain W_- as well. Taking the range of W_- to be included by that of W_+, we are able to define the scattering operator by $S = (W_+)^{-1}W_-$.

2.2 Existence of generalized wave operators

In this subsection we consider the case where $1 < p \le 2$. We set

$$\kappa_1 = p - 1, \quad \kappa_2 = q(p-1) - 1 \tag{2.18}$$

for $1 < p < 2$. While, when $p = 2$, we take κ_1 and κ_2 in such a way that

$$0 < \kappa_1 < 1 < \kappa_2 < q - 1, \quad q\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 + 1. \tag{2.19}$$

For instance, $\kappa_1 = (q+2)/(2q)$, $\kappa_2 = q/2$ satisfy the above conditions. Note that $0 < \kappa_1 < 1$ and $\kappa_2 > 1$ in both cases, by the assumption (0.2).

First of all, we present a result for a special case of Theorem 2.5 below, because it would make easy to recognize the statement for the general case. Namely, we assume that $1 < p < 2$ and the following stronger condition on p, q than (0.10):

$$\kappa_1\kappa_2 = (p-1)(q(p-1)-1) > 1. \tag{2.20}$$

In order to have an analogue to Theorem 2.2, we replace the final state $(K[\vec{f_1}], K[\vec{f_2}])$ by $(w_1, v_0) \in Z^2(\kappa_1) \times X^2(\kappa_2)$ which is the solution of the initial value problem for

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w_1 - \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right) w_1 = |\partial_t v_0|^p & \text{for} \quad r > 0,\ t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_t^2 v_0 - \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right) v_0 = 0 & \text{for} \quad r > 0,\ t \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

with

$$(w_1, \partial_t w_1)(r, 0) = \vec{f_1}(r), \quad (v_0, \partial_t v_0)(r, 0) = \vec{f_2}(r) \quad \text{for} \quad r > 0. \tag{2.22}$$

Actually, we have the following.

Theorem 2.4 (*Existence of a generalized wave operator ; a special case*) *Let* $1 < p \le q$. *Suppose that* $1 < p < 2$ *and* (2.20) *holds. Then there exists a positive number* ε_0 (*depending only on* p *and* q) *such that one can define a generalized wave operator* $\widetilde{W}_+ = (\widetilde{W}_+^{(1)}, \widetilde{W}_+^{(2)})$ *from* $Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon_0) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon_0)$ *to* $Y_{\kappa_1}(2\varepsilon_0) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(2\varepsilon_0)$ *by*

$$\widetilde{W}_+^{(j)}[\vec{f_1}, \vec{f_2}](r) = (u_j, \partial_t u_j)(r, 0) \quad (j = 1, 2), \tag{2.23}$$

where $(u_1, u_2) \in Z^2(\kappa_1) \times X^2(\kappa_2)$ *is a unique solution of* (0.8) *satisfying*

$$\|u_1(t) - w_1(t)\|_E + \|u_2(t) - v_0(t)\|_E \to 0 \quad as \quad t \to \infty \tag{2.24}$$

for each $(\vec{f_1}, \vec{f_2}) \in Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon_0) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon_0)$. *Moreover, we have for* $r > 0$

$$|||\widetilde{W}_+^{(1)}[\vec{f_1}, \vec{f_2}](r) - \vec{f_1}(r)|||(1 + r)^{\kappa_1} \le C\varepsilon^{1+(p-1)q}(1 + r)^{-\kappa_1(\kappa_2 - 1)}, \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$|||\widetilde{W}_+^{(2)}[\vec{f_1}, \vec{f_2}](r) - \vec{f_2}(r)|||(1 + r)^{\kappa_2} \le C\varepsilon^{q}, \tag{2.26}$$

provided $\vec{f_j} \in Y_{\kappa_j}(\varepsilon)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ *and* $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, *where* C *is a constant depending only on* p *and* q.

Remark. When $p = 2$, we have only to assume $q > 2$, instead of (2.20). In fact, if we replace the right hand side of (2.25) by $C\varepsilon^{1+q}(1 + r)^{-(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)}$, then the conclusions of Theorem 2.4 remain valid (for the needed modification of the proof, see the remark after the proof of Theorem 2.5).

Next we relax the condition (2.20) to

$$\kappa_1\kappa_2 > 1 + \kappa_1^2 - \kappa_1, \tag{2.27}$$

which is equivalent to (0.10), while we shall keep $1 < p < 2$. In the previous case, it suffices to iterate just once for getting w_1 as a final state for u_1. However, in order to treat the general case, we need to iterate many times for finding out a suitable final state for u_1.

First we define a sequence $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ by $a_0 = 1$ and

$$a_{j+1} = \kappa_1(a_j - 1) + \kappa_2 \quad \text{for } j \ge 0, \tag{2.28}$$

explicitly we have

$$a_j = \frac{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_1} - \frac{(\kappa_2 - 1)(\kappa_1)^j}{1 - \kappa_1} \quad \text{for } j \ge 0.$$

Observe that $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing, $a_j < (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)/(1-\kappa_1)$ for $j \geq 1$, and $\lim_{j\to\infty} a_j = (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)/(1-\kappa_1)$. Since $p < 2$ and (2.27) yield

$$a_0 = 1 < \frac{1}{\kappa_1} < \frac{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{1-\kappa_1} = \lim_{j\to\infty} a_j,$$

there exists a nonnegative integer ℓ such that

$$a_{\ell+1} > \frac{1}{\kappa_1}, \quad a_\ell \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_1}. \tag{2.29}$$

Next we introduce a sequence $\{(w_j, v_j)\}_{j=0}^{\ell+1}$ as follows: For $(\vec{f_1}, \vec{f_2}) \in Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= w_0 + L(|\partial_t v_0|^p), \quad w_0 = K[\vec{f_1}], \\ v_1 &= v_0 + R(|\partial_t w_1|^q), \quad v_0 = K[\vec{f_2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we define

$$w_{j+1} = w_j + L(|\partial_t v_j|^p - |\partial_t v_{j-1}|^p)), \tag{2.30}$$

$$v_{j+1} = v_j + R(|\partial_t w_{j+1}|^q - |\partial_t w_j|^q) \tag{2.31}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Here L and R are the integral operators given by (3.3) and (3.8), respectively. Then we see that for $1 \leq j \leq \ell+1$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t^2 w_j - \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right) w_j = |\partial_t v_{j-1}|^p & \text{for} \quad r > 0, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_t^2 v_j - \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_r\right) v_j = |\partial_t w_j|^q & \text{for} \quad r > 0, \ t \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right. \tag{2.32}$$

and $(w_j, \partial_t w_j)(r, 0) = \vec{f_1}(r)$ for $r > 0$.

Now, the following theorem shows that $w_{\ell+1}$ is a final state for u_1.

Theorem 2.5 (*Existence of a generalized wave operator*) *Let $1 < p \leq q$. Suppose that $1 < p < 2$ and (2.27). Assume $\kappa_1 a_\ell < 1$ in addition to (2.29). Then there exists a positive number ε_0 (depending only on p and q) such that one can define a generalized wave operator $\widetilde{W}_+ = (\widetilde{W}_+^{(1)}, \widetilde{W}_+^{(2)})$ from $Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon_0) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon_0)$ to $Y_{\kappa_1}(2\varepsilon_0) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(2\varepsilon_0)$ by (2.23), where $(u_1, u_2) \in Z^2(\kappa_1) \times X^2(\kappa_2)$ is a unique solution of (0.8) satisfying*

$$\|u_1(t) - w_{\ell+1}(t)\|_E + \|u_2(t) - v_0(t)\|_E \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty \tag{2.33}$$

for each $(\vec{f_1}, \vec{f_2}) \in Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon_0) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon_0)$. Moreover, for $r > 0$, we have (2.26) and

$$|\!|\!|\widetilde{W}_+^{(1)}[\vec{f_1}, \vec{f_2}](r) - \vec{f_1}(r)|\!|\!|(1+r)^{\kappa_1} \leq C\varepsilon^{B_\ell}(1+r)^{-\kappa_1(a_{\ell+1}-1)}, \tag{2.34}$$

provided $\vec{f_j} \in Y_{\kappa_j}(\varepsilon)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, where C is a constant depending only on p and q. Here we put

$$B_\ell = 1 + (p-1)(q + \ell(p+q-2)).$$

Remark. If $1 < p < 2$ and (2.20) holds, then (2.27) is valid and $\kappa_1 a_\ell < 1$ is satisfied for $\ell = 0$. Therefore, Theorem 2.4 follows from Theorem 2.5.

On the one hand, suppose $\kappa_1 a_\ell = 1$ (notice that we have $\ell \geq 1$ in this case). Then we need to modify the statement of Theorem 2.5 a little. Letting δ be a number satisfying

$$0 < \delta < a_\ell - a_{\ell-1}, \quad \kappa_1^2 \delta < \kappa_1 a_{\ell+1} - 1, \tag{2.35}$$

we define

$$a'_\ell = a_\ell - \delta, \quad \text{and} \quad a'_{\ell+1} = \kappa_1(a'_\ell - 1) + \kappa_2 \,(= a_{\ell+1} - \kappa_1 \delta). \tag{2.36}$$

Observing that

$$a_{\ell-1} < a'_\ell < a'_{\ell+1}, \quad \kappa_1 a'_\ell < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_1 a'_{\ell+1} > 1, \tag{2.37}$$

we can show the statement of the theorem with $a_{\ell+1}$ in (2.34) replaced by $a'_{\ell+1}$.

Our next step is to construct the inverse of $\widetilde{W}_+$, based on the existence result in Theorem 1 of [5] for the initial value problem (0.8) and (2.10). Let $(u_1, u_2) \in Z^2(\kappa_1) \times X^2(\kappa_2)$ be the unique solution of (2.12) satisfying

$$\|u_1\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} + \|u_2\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \leq 2C_0\varepsilon \tag{2.38}$$

with C_0 the canstant in (2.4). Using the solution, we set $w_0^* = K[\vec{\varphi}_1]$, $v_0^* = u_2 - R(|\partial_t u_1|^q)$. Moreover, when $\ell \geq 1$, we define for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$

$$w_j^* = w_0^* + L(|\partial_t v_{j-1}^*|^p), \tag{2.39}$$

$$v_j^* = v_0^* + R(|\partial_t w_j^*|^q). \tag{2.40}$$

We furter define

$$w^* = u_1 - R(|\partial_t u_2|^p - |\partial_t v_\ell^*|^p), \tag{2.41}$$

which we wish to regard as a final state for u_1. If we set

$$\vec{f_1}(r) = (w^*(r,0), \partial_t w^*(r,0)) \quad \text{for} \quad r > 0, \tag{2.42}$$

$$\vec{f_2}(r) = (v_0^*(r,0), \partial_t v_0^*(r,0)) \quad \text{for} \quad r > 0, \tag{2.43}$$

then we see that v_0^* and w^* are represented as

$$w^* = K[\vec{f_1}] + L(|\partial_t v_\ell^*|^p), \quad v_0^* = K[\vec{f_2}]. \tag{2.44}$$

Now we state the result for the inverse of $\widetilde{W}_+$.

Theorem 2.6 (*Existence of the inverse of a generalized wave operator*) *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 be fulfilled. Then there exists a positive number* ε_0 *(depending only on* p *and* q*) such that, for any* $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$*, one can define* $(\widetilde{W}_+)^{-1}$ *by* $(\vec{\varphi}_1, \vec{\varphi}_2) \in Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon) \longmapsto (\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_2) \in Y_{\kappa_1}(2\varepsilon) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(2\varepsilon)$ *so that*

$$\|u_1(t) - (K[\vec{f}_1] + L(|\partial_t v_\ell^*|^p))(t)\|_E \to 0 \qquad \textit{as} \quad t \to \infty \tag{2.45}$$

$$\|u_2(t) - K[\vec{f}_2](t)\|_E \to 0 \qquad \textit{as} \quad t \to \infty, \tag{2.46}$$

hold. Here (u_1, u_2) *is the solution of* (2.12) *satisfying* (2.38), $(\vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_2)$ *is defined by* (2.42), (2.43), *and* v_ℓ^* *is given by* (2.40) *for* $(\vec{\varphi}_1, \vec{\varphi}_2) \in Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon)$.

Moreover, for $r > 0$*, we have* (2.17) *and*

$$|\!|\!| \vec{f}_1(r) - \vec{\varphi}_1(r) |\!|\!| (1+r)^{\kappa_1} \le C\varepsilon^{B_\ell}(1+r)^{-\kappa_1(a_{\ell+1}-1)}, \tag{2.47}$$

provided $\vec{\varphi}_j \in Y_{\kappa_j}(\varepsilon)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ *and* $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$*, where* C *is a constant depending only on* p *and* q*.*

Remark. Now we are in a position to conclude the existence of a scattering operator for (0.1). As we have constructed $\widetilde{W}_+$ in Theorem 2.5, we obtain $\widetilde{W}_-$ as well. Taking the range of $\widetilde{W}_-$ to be included by that of $\widetilde{W}_+$, we are able to define the long range scattering operator by $\widetilde{S} = (\widetilde{W}_+)^{-1}\widetilde{W}_-$.

3 Inhomogeneous wave equations

In this section we summarize the results of the section 4 in [5] for the case $a = c = 1$. The first one is concerned with the initial value problem for the inhomogeneous wave equation with the zero initial data:

$$u_{tt} - \left(u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u_r\right) = F(r,t) \quad \text{in} \quad (0,\infty) \times (0,\infty), \tag{3.1}$$

$$u(r,0) = (\partial_t u)(r,0) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad r > 0. \tag{3.2}$$

The solution of this problem is given by

$$L(F)(r,t) = \frac{1}{2r}\int_0^t ds \int_{r-(t-s)}^{r+(t-s)} \lambda F(\lambda, s) d\lambda. \tag{3.3}$$

In order to study the qualitative property of $L(F)$, we set

$$M_0(F) = \sup_{r>0,\, t\ge 0} |F(r,t)| r^\alpha (1+r)^\beta (1+r+t)^\gamma (1+|r-t|)^\delta, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(F) = {} & M_0(F) \\ & + \sup_{r>0,\, t\ge 0} |\partial_r F(r,t)| r^{\alpha+1} (1+r)^{\beta-1} (1+r+t)^\gamma (1+|r-t|)^\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for α, β, γ, and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

Proposition 3.1 *Let $F \in X^1$. Then we have $L(F) \in X^2$. Moreover, if $M_{s-1}(F)$ with $s = 1$ or $s = 2$ is finite for $\alpha < 3 - s$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, $\gamma \geq 0$, and $\delta > 1$, then there exists a constant C depending only on α, β, γ, and δ such that*

$$\|L(F)\|_{X^s(\nu)} \leq CM_{s-1}(F) \qquad \text{if} \quad \alpha+\beta+\gamma > 2, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\|L(F)\|_{Z^s(\alpha+\beta+\gamma-1)} \leq CM_{s-1}(F) \qquad \text{if} \quad 1 < \alpha+\beta+\gamma < 2, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\nu = \min(\alpha+\beta+\gamma-1, \delta)$.

Proof. Note that the statement follows from the case $\gamma = 0$, since $(1+\lambda+s)^{-\gamma} \leq (1+\lambda)^{-\gamma}$ when $\gamma > 0$. Therefore, applying Theorem 6 in [5] where the case $\gamma = 0$ was shown, we conclude the proof. □

Next we study the operator

$$R(F)(r,t) = \frac{1}{2r}\int_t^\infty ds \int_{(s-t)-r}^{(s-t)+r} \lambda F(\lambda, s) d\lambda, \tag{3.8}$$

related to the final value problem. Indeed, if $F \in X^1$ and

$$\sup_{r\geq 1,\, t\geq 0} |F(r,t)|(1+r)^\beta (1+r+t)^\gamma (1+|r-t|)^\delta < \infty \tag{3.9}$$

for $\beta+\gamma > 2$, $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$, then we have $R(F) \in X^1$ and it satisfies the inhomogeneous wave equation:

$$(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)R(F)(|x|,t) = F(|x|,t) \tag{3.10}$$

in the distributional sense on $\mathbf{R}^3 \times (0,\infty)$. The following result, which is a refinement of Theorem 7 in [5] in the sense that one can take a parameter γ to be positive, will play an essential role in this paper.

Proposition 3.2 *If $F \in X^1$ and $M_{s-1}(F)$ with $s = 1$ or $s = 2$ is finite for $\alpha < 3 - s$, β, $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$, and $\delta > 1$ satisfying $\alpha+\beta+\gamma > 2$, then $R(F) \in X^s$ and there exists a constant C depending only on α, β, γ, and δ such that*

$$\|R(F)\|_{Z^s(\mu+\gamma)} \leq CM_{s-1}(F), \tag{3.11}$$

where $\mu = \min(\alpha+\beta-1, \delta)$.

Proof. Since the statement for $\gamma \le 0$ was shown in Theorem 7 in [5], it suffices to prove it for $\gamma > 0$. Seeing the proof, we find that $R(F) \in X^s$ is valid also for $\gamma > 0$. Hence it remains to show (3.11).

It follows from (3.8) that

$$R(F)(r,t) = \frac{1}{2r}\int_t^\infty ds \int_{|(s-t)-r|}^{(s-t)+r} \lambda F(\lambda, s) d\lambda,$$

since $\lambda F(\lambda, s)$ is odd in λ. Observe that if $\lambda \ge |(s-t)-r|$ and $s \ge t$, then we have $\lambda + s \ge r + t$, so that $(1+\lambda+s)^{-\gamma} \le (1+r+t)^{-\gamma}$ when $\gamma > 0$. Therefore, if $\alpha + \beta > 2$, one can reduce the proof to the case $\gamma = 0$ which was already shown in [5].

Suppose, on the contrary, that $\alpha + \beta \le 2$. We take a positive number $\rho > 0$ satisfying

$$2 - (\alpha+\beta) < \rho \le \delta + 1 - (\alpha+\beta), \quad \rho < \gamma \tag{3.12}$$

and set $\beta' = \beta + \rho$, $\gamma' = \gamma - \rho$. Then we have $\alpha + \beta' > 2$, $\gamma' > 0$ and $\delta > 1$. Applying the result in the preceding case with β and γ replaced by β' and γ' respectively, we obtain the needed conclusion, because $\min(\alpha+\beta'-1, \delta) = \min(\alpha+\beta-1+\rho, \delta) = \alpha+\beta-1+\rho$ and $\mu = \min(\alpha+\beta-1, \delta) = \alpha+\beta-1$. This completes the proof. □

4 Proof of Main Results

4.1 Proof of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3

First we prove Theorem 2.2. Suppose $p > 2$. Let $(\vec{f_1}, \vec{f_2}) \in Y_{\kappa_1}(\varepsilon) \times Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon)$ with $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$, and set $w_0 = K[\vec{f_1}]$, $v_0 = K[\vec{f_2}]$. Then it follows from (2.4) that

$$\|w_0\|_{X^2(\kappa_1)} + \|v_0\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \le C\varepsilon. \tag{4.1}$$

Recall that $\kappa_1 = p - 1 > 1$ and $\kappa_2 = q - 1 > 1$.

We shall solve the following system of integral equations:

$$u_1 = w_0 + R(|\partial_t u_2|^p), \quad u_2 = v_0 + R(|\partial_t u_1|^q), \tag{4.2}$$

where R is defined by (3.8). To this end, we define $T(u_1, u_2) = (T^{(1)}(u_2), T^{(2)}(u_1))$ by

$$T^{(1)}(u_2) = w_0 + R(|\partial_t u_2|^p), \quad T^{(2)}(u_1) = v_0 + R(|\partial_t u_1|^q). \tag{4.3}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ we introduce a metric space

$$D_\varepsilon = \{(u_1, u_2) \in X^2 \times X^2 \,;\, d((u_1, u_2), (w_0, v_0)) \le \varepsilon\}, \tag{4.4}$$

where we have set

$$d((u_1,u_2),(u_1^*,u_2^*)) = \|u_1-u_1^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} + \|u_2-u_2^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)}.$$

First we prepare the following.

Lemma 4.1 *Let* $(u_1,u_2)\in D_\varepsilon$. *Then we have*

$$\|T^{(1)}(u_2)-w_0\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} \le C\varepsilon^p, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\|T^{(2)}(u_1)-v_0\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \le C\varepsilon^q. \tag{4.6}$$

Moreover we have

$$\|T^{(1)}(u_2)-T^{(1)}(u_2^*)\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} \le C\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u_2-u_2^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\|T^{(2)}(u_1)-T^{(2)}(u_1^*)\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \le C\varepsilon^{q-1}\|u_1-u_1^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} \tag{4.8}$$

for (u_1,u_2), $(u_1^*,u_2^*)\in D_\varepsilon$.

Proof. First we observe that if $(u_1,u_2)\in D_\varepsilon$, then we have

$$\|u_1\|_{X^2(\kappa_1)} + \|u_2\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \le C\varepsilon, \tag{4.9}$$

due to κ_1, $\kappa_2>1$ and (4.1).

We start with the proof of (4.5). In view of (4.3), it suffices to show

$$\|R(|\partial_t u_2|^p)\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} \le C\varepsilon^p. \tag{4.10}$$

We see from (4.9) that $M_1(|\partial_t u_2|^p)\le C\varepsilon^p$ holds for $\alpha=\gamma=0$, $\beta=p$ and $\delta=p\kappa_2$, where $M_1(F)$ is defined by (3.4) and (3.5). Since $\alpha+\beta+\gamma-1=\kappa_1>1$, by (3.11) with $s=2$ we get (4.10), which implies (4.5). Analogously we obtain (4.6), because $\kappa_2>1$.

Next we show (4.7). It follows from (4.3) that

$$T^{(1)}(u_2)-T^{(1)}(u_2^*) = R(|\partial_t u_2|^p-|\partial_t u_2^*|^p). \tag{4.11}$$

Since $p>2$, we see from (4.9) that

$$M_1(|\partial_t u_2|^p-|\partial_t u_2^*|^p) \le C\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u_2-u_2^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)}$$

for $\alpha=0$, $\beta=p$, $\gamma=\kappa_2-1$, and $\delta=1+(p-1)\kappa_2$. Since $\alpha+\beta+\gamma-1=\kappa_1+\kappa_2-1>1$, by (3.11) with $s=2$ we obtain

$$\|R(|\partial_t u_2|^p-|\partial_t u_2^*|^p)\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1+\kappa_2-1)} \le C\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u_2-u_2^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)}.$$

In view of (4.11), we get (4.7), since $\kappa_2 > 1$. Analogously we have (4.8), because $q \geq p > 2$. This completes the proof. $\square$

End of the proof of Theorem 2.2. We see from Lemma 4.1 that there exists a positive number ε_0 depending only on p and q such that if $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, then we have $T(u_1, u_2) \in D_\varepsilon$ and

$$d(T(u_1,u_2), T(u_1^*, u_2^*)) \leq 2^{-1} d((u_1,u_2),(u_1^*,u_2^*))$$

for (u_1, u_2), $(u_1^*, u_2^*) \in D_\varepsilon$, namely, T is a contaction on D_ε. Hence we find a unique solution $(u_1, u_2) \in D_\varepsilon$ of (4.2). Here and in what follows, we suppose that $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and (u_1, u_2) is the solution.

Since $T^{(1)}(u_2) = u_1$ and $w_0 = K[\vec{f_1}]$, it follows from (4.5) that

$$[(u_1 - K[\vec{f_1}])(r,t)]_2 \leq C\varepsilon^p (1+r+t)^{-(\kappa_1 - 1)} (1 + |r-t|)^{-1}. \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(u_1 - K[\vec{f_1}])(t)\|_E \\ \leq & C\varepsilon^p (1+t)^{-(\kappa_1-1)} \left(\int_0^\infty (1+|r-t|)^{-2} dr \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq & C\varepsilon^p (1+t)^{-(\kappa_1-1)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

for $t \geq 0$. Analogously by (4.6) we get

$$\|(u_2 - K[\vec{f_2}])(t)\|_E \leq C\varepsilon^q (1+t)^{-(\kappa_2-1)} \tag{4.14}$$

for $t \geq 0$. Hence we obtain (2.7).

Moreover, we easily get (2.8) by taking $t = 0$ in (4.12). Analogously, (2.9) follows from (4.6). Thus we prove Theorem 2.2. $\square$

Next we show Theorem 2.3. Let $(u_1, u_2) \in X^2(\kappa_1) \times X^2(\kappa_2)$ be the unique solution of (2.12) satisfying (2.11). Then we see from (2.13) and (2.15) that (2.7), (2.16) and (2.17) follows from (4.10) and

$$\|R(|\partial_t u_1|^q)\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \leq C\varepsilon^q. \tag{4.15}$$

By virtue of (2.11), (4.10) can be shown as before. In the same way we obtain (4.15). Thus we prove Theorem 2.3. $\square$

4.2 Proof of Theorems 2.5 and 2.6

We start by showing the following basic estimates.

Lemma 4.2 *Let* $v \in X^2(\kappa_2)$*. Then* $L(|\partial_t v|^p) \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$ *and we have*

$$\|L(|\partial_t v|^p)\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} \leq C\|v\|^p_{X^2(\kappa_2)}. \tag{4.16}$$

While, let $w \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$*. Then* $R(|\partial_t w|^q) \in Z^2(\kappa_2)$ *and we have*

$$\|R(|\partial_t w|^q)\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \leq C\|w\|^q_{Z^2(\kappa_1)}. \tag{4.17}$$

Proof. For $v \in X^2(\kappa_2)$, we have

$$[v(r,t)]_2 \leq \|v\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)}(1+|r-t|)^{-\kappa_2},$$

so that $M_1(|\partial_t v|^p) \leq p\|v\|^p_{X^2(\kappa_2)}$ holds for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = p$, $\gamma = 0$, and $\delta = p\kappa_2$. By Proposition 3.1 with $s = 2$ we get $L(|\partial_t v|^p) \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$ and (4.16), since $\alpha+\beta+\gamma-1 = \kappa_1 < 1$ and $\delta = p\kappa_2 > 1$.

On the other hand, for $w \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$, we have

$$[w(r,t)]_2 \leq \|w\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)}(1+r+t)^{1-\kappa_1}(1+|r-t|)^{-1},$$

so that $M_1(|\partial_t w|^q) \leq q\|w\|^q_{Z^2(\kappa_1)}$ holds for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = \delta = q$, and $\gamma = q\kappa_1 - q = \kappa_2 + 1 - q$. By Proposition 3.2 with $s = 2$ we get $R(|\partial_t w|^q) \in Z^2(\kappa_2)$ and (4.17), since $\alpha+\beta+\gamma-1 = \kappa_2 > 1$. This completes the proof. □

Next we examine the qualitative property of $\{w_j\}_{j=0}^{\ell+1}$ and $\{v_j\}_{j=0}^{\ell+1}$ defined by (2.30) and (2.31). As a corollary of Lemma 4.2, we derive the following estimates.

Corollary 4.3 *Let* $0 \leq j \leq \ell+1$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ *and* $\vec{f_i} \in Y_{\kappa_i}(\varepsilon)$ *with* $i = 1, 2$*. Then* $w_j \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$, $v_j \in X^2(\kappa_2)$*, and we have*

$$\|w_j\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} \leq C\varepsilon, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\|v_j\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \leq C\varepsilon. \tag{4.19}$$

Besides, we have

$$\|w_1 - w_0\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} \leq C\varepsilon^p, \tag{4.20}$$

$$\|v_1 - v_0\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \leq C\varepsilon^q. \tag{4.21}$$

Proof. Since $\vec{f_2} \in Y_{\kappa_2}(\varepsilon)$, by Proposition 2.1 we get $v_0 \in X^2(\kappa_2)$ and (4.19) for $j = 0$. Analogously we have $w_0 \in X^2(\kappa_1)$ and $\|w_0\|_{X^2(\kappa_1)} \leq C\varepsilon$. Since $0 < \kappa_1 < 1$, we find $w_0 \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$ and (4.18) for $j = 0$.

Next suppose that (4.18) and (4.19) hold for some j with $0 \leq j \leq \ell$. Since $w_{j+1} - w_0 = L(|\partial_t v_j|^p)$ by (2.30), we have $w_{j+1} - w_0 \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$ and $\|w_{j+1} -$

$w_0\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} \le C\varepsilon^p$, using (4.16) and (4.19). Hence we get $\|w_{j+1}\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} \le C\varepsilon$ for $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ and (4.20) by taking $j = 0$ in the above. Analogously we obtain $\|v_{j+1} - v_0\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \le C\varepsilon^q$ by (2.31), (4.17) and (4.18). Therefore, $\|v_{j+1}\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \le C\varepsilon$ for $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ and (4.21) holds, because $\kappa_2 > 1$. The proof is complete. $\square$

The following estimates are crucial in the proof of Theorem 2.5 for $\ell \ge 1$.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $1 \le j \le \ell$, $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ and $\vec{f_i} \in Y_{\kappa_i}(\varepsilon)$ with $i = 1, 2$. Then $w_{j+1} - w_j \in Z^2(\kappa_1 a_j)$, $v_{j+1} - v_j \in Z^2(a_{j+1})$, and we have*

$$\|w_{j+1} - w_j\|_{Z^1(\kappa_1 a_j)} \le C\varepsilon^{b_{j-1}+p-1}, \tag{4.22}$$

$$\|v_{j+1} - v_j\|_{Z^1(a_{j+1})} \le C\varepsilon^{b_j}, \tag{4.23}$$

where we put $b_k = q + k(p + q - 2)$ for a nonnegative integer k, and

$$\|w_{j+1} - w_j\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1 a_j)} \le C\varepsilon^{B_{j-1}}, \tag{4.24}$$

$$\|v_{j+1} - v_j\|_{Z^2(a_{j+1})} \le C\varepsilon^{B_{j-1}+q-1}, \tag{4.25}$$

where we put $B_k = 1 + (p - 1)b_k$ for a nonnegative integer k.

Proof. Observe that (4.21) implies (4.23) for $j = 0$, since $b_0 = q$ and $a_1 = \kappa_2$.

First we show that if (4.23) holds for some j with $0 \le j \le \ell - 1$, then (4.22) with j replaced by $j + 1$ holds. It follows from (2.30) that

$$w_{j+2} - w_{j+1} = L(G(v_{j+1}, v_j)), \quad G(v, v^*) = |\partial_t v|^p - |\partial_t v^*|^p \tag{4.26}$$

for $0 \le j \le \ell - 1$. Note that if v, $v^* \in X^2(\kappa_2)$ and $v - v^* \in Z^1(a_{j+1})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|G(v, v^*)(r, t)| \\ &\le p|\partial_t(v - v^*)|(|\partial_t v| + |\partial_t v^*|)^{p-1} \\ &\le p\|v - v^*\|_{Z^1(a_{j+1})}(\|v\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} + \|v^*\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)})^{p-1} \\ &\quad \times r^{-1}(1 + r)^{-(p-1)}(1 + r + t)^{-(a_{j+1}-1)}(1 + |r - t|)^{-1-\kappa_1\kappa_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 + r + t)^{-(a_{j+1}-1)}(1 + |r - t|)^{-1-\kappa_1\kappa_2} \\ &\le (1 + r + t)^{-\kappa_1(a_{j+1}-1)}(1 + |r - t|)^{-\kappa_1-\kappa_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

since $0 < \kappa_1 < 1$ and $a_{j+1} \ge \kappa_2$.

Applying (4.27) to $G(v_{j+1}, v_j)$ and using (4.19), (4.23) and (4.28), we obtain

$$M_0(G(v_{j+1}, v_j)) \le C\varepsilon^{b_j+p-1}$$

for $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = \kappa_1$, $\gamma = \kappa_1 a_{j+1} - \kappa_1\ (> 0)$, and $\delta = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$. Since $\alpha + \beta + \gamma - 1 = \kappa_1 a_{j+1} \le \kappa_1 a_\ell$ and $\kappa_1 a_\ell < 1$ from the assumption in Theorem 2.5, if we apply (3.7) with $s = 1$ to the right hand side on (4.26), then the desired estimate holds. In particular, we have (4.22) with $j = 1$, since (4.23) is valid for $j = 0$.

Next we show that if (4.22) holds for some j with $1 \le j \le \ell$, then (4.23) is valid for the same j. It follows from (2.31) that

$$v_{j+1} - v_j = R(H(w_{j+1}, w_j)), \quad H(w, w^*) = |\partial_t w|^q - |\partial_t w^*|^q \tag{4.29}$$

for $1 \le j \le \ell$. Note that if w, $w^* \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$ and $w - w^* \in Z^1(\kappa_1 a_j)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|H(w, w^*)(r,t)| \\ &\le q|\partial_t(w - w^*)|(|\partial_t w| + |\partial_t w^*|)^{q-1} \\ &\le q\|w - w^*\|_{Z^1(\kappa_1 a_j)}(\|w\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} + \|w^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)})^{q-1} \\ &\quad \times r^{-1}(1+r)^{-(q-1)}(1+r+t)^{-(q-1)(\kappa_1 - 1)-(\kappa_1 a_j - 1)}(1+|r-t|)^{-q}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Applying (4.30) to $H(w_{j+1}, w_j)$ and using (4.18), (4.22), we obtain

$$M_0(H(w_{j+1}, w_j)) \le C\varepsilon^{b_j}$$

for $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = q - 1$, $\gamma = a_{j+1} + 1 - q$, and $\delta = q$. Since $\alpha + \beta + \gamma - 1 = a_{j+1} > 1$, if we apply (3.11) with $s = 1$ to the right hand side on (4.29), then the desired estimate holds. In conclusion, we have proven (4.22) and (4.23) for $1 \le j \le \ell$.

Next we show (4.24) and (4.25). Observe that if we put $B_{-1} = 1$, then (4.25) with $j = 0$ follows from (4.21).

First we show that if (4.25) holds for some j with $0 \le j \le \ell - 1$, then it, in combination with (4.23), implies (4.24) with j replaced by $j + 1$. Note that if v, $v^* \in X^2(\kappa_2)$ and $v - v^* \in Z^2(a_{j+1})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(1+r)|G(v, v^*)(r,t)| + r|\partial_r G(v, v^*)(r,t)|\} \\ &\quad \times r^{p-1}(1+r+t)^{\kappa_1(a_{j+1}-1)}(1+|r-t|)^{\kappa_1+\kappa_2} \\ &\le 2p\{\|v - v^*\|^{p-1}_{Z^1(a_{j+1})}\|v\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \\ &\qquad + \|v - v^*\|_{Z^2(a_{j+1})}(\|v\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} + \|v^*\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)})^{p-1}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

In fact, similarly to (4.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(v, v^*)(r,t)| \le p\|v - v^*\|_{Z^2(a_{j+1})}(\|v\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} + \|v^*\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)})^{p-1} \\ \times (1+r)^{-p}(1+r+t)^{-(a_{j+1}-1)}(1+|r-t|)^{-1-\kappa_1\kappa_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 < p < 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\partial_r G(v,v^*)(r,t)| \\
\le& 2p|\partial_t(v-v^*)|^{p-1}|\partial_r\partial_t v| + p|\partial_r\partial_t(v-v^*)||\partial_t v^*|^{p-1} \\
\le& 2p\|v-v^*\|_{Z^1(a_{j+1})}^{p-1}\|v\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \\
&\quad \times r^{-p}(1+r+t)^{-\kappa_1(a_{j+1}-1)}(1+|r-t|)^{-\kappa_1-\kappa_2} \\
&+ p\|v-v^*\|_{Z^2(a_{j+1})}\|v^*\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)}^{p-1} \\
&\quad \times r^{-1}(1+r)^{-(p-1)}(1+r+t)^{-(a_{j+1}-1)}(1+|r-t|)^{-1-\kappa_1\kappa_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.28) we get (4.31).

Applying (4.31) to $G(v_{j+1}, v_j)$ and using (4.19), (4.23) and (4.25), we obtain

$$M_1(G(v_{j+1},v_j)) \le C\varepsilon^{(p-1)b_j+1} + C\varepsilon^{B_{j-1}+p+q-2}$$

for $\alpha = p-1\,(<1)$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = \kappa_1 a_{j+1} - \kappa_1$, and $\delta = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$. It is not difficult to see that

$$B_j \le B_{j-1} + p + q - 2 \tag{4.32}$$

for $0 \le j \le \ell$ (recall $B_{-1} = 1$). Therefore we have $M_1(G(v_{j+1},v_j)) \le C\varepsilon^{B_j}$. Since $\alpha+\beta+\gamma-1 = \kappa_1 a_{j+1} \le \kappa_1 a_\ell < 1$, if we apply (3.7) with $s = 2$ to the right hand side on (4.26), then the desired estimate holds. In particular, we have (4.24) with $j = 1$, since (4.25) is valid for $j = 0$.

Finally we show that if (4.24) holds for some j with $1 \le j \le \ell$, then (4.25) is valid for the same j. Note that if w, $w^* \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$ and $w - w^* \in Z^2(\kappa_1 a_j)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{(1+r)|H(w,w^*)(r,t)| + r|\partial_r H(w,w^*)(r,t)|\} \\
&\quad \times (1+r)^{q-1}(1+r+t)^{(q-1)(\kappa_1-1)+\kappa_1 a_j - 1}(1+|r-t|)^q \\
&\le q^2\|w-w^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1 a_j)}(\|w\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} + \|w^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)})^{q-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

since $q > 2$. Applying (4.33) to $H(w_{j+1}, w_j)$ and using (4.18), (4.24), we obtain

$$M_1(H(w_{j+1},w_j)) \le C\varepsilon^{B_{j-1}+q-1}$$

for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = \delta = q$ and $\gamma = a_{j+1} + 1 - q$. Since $\alpha+\beta+\gamma-1 = a_{j+1} > 1$, if we apply (3.11) with $s = 2$ to the right hand side on (4.29), then the desired estimate holds. In conclusion, all the asserion of the lemma is proven. □

Our next step is to solve the following system:

$$u_1 = w_{\ell+1} + R(G(u_2, v_\ell)), \quad u_2 = v_{\ell+1} + R(H(u_1, w_{\ell+1})), \tag{4.34}$$

where $G(v, v^*)$ and $H(w, w^*)$ are the notations from (4.26) and (4.29), respectively. We define $T(u_1, u_2) = (T^{(1)}(u_2), T^{(2)}(u_1))$ by

$$T^{(1)}(u_2) = w_{\ell+1} + R(G(u_2, v_\ell)), \quad T^{(2)}(u_1) = v_{\ell+1} + R(H(u_1, w_{\ell+1})). \tag{4.35}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ we introduce a metric space

$$D_\varepsilon = \{(u_1, u_2) \in X^2 \times X^2 \,;\, d((u_1, u_2), (w_{\ell+1}, v_{\ell+1})) \le \varepsilon^{(p-1)b_\ell}\}, \tag{4.36}$$

where $b_\ell = q + \ell(p + q - 2)$ and we have set $d((u_1, u_2), (u_1^*, u_2^*)) = d_1(u_1, u_1^*) + d_2(u_2, u_2^*)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(u_1, u_1^*) &= \|u_1 - u_1^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})} + \|u_1 - u_1^*\|_{Z^1(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})}^{p-1}, \\ d_2(u_2, u_2^*) &= \|u_2 - u_2^*\|_{Z^2(a_{\ell+1})} + \|u_2 - u_2^*\|_{Z^1(a_{\ell+1})}^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that T is a contraction on D_ε, provided ε is small enough.

First of all, we prepare the following.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $(u_1, u_2) \in D_\varepsilon$ with $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$. Then we have*

$$\|u_1\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} + \|u_2\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \le C\varepsilon \tag{4.37}$$

and

$$d_1(u_1, w_{\ell+1}) \le \varepsilon^{(p-1)b_\ell}, \quad d_2(u_2, v_\ell) \le C\varepsilon^{(p-1)b_\ell}. \tag{4.38}$$

Proof. First we prove (4.37). Notice that $a_{\ell+1} \ge \kappa_2 > 1$ and $(p-1)b_\ell \ge (p-1)q = \kappa_2 + 1$. Then (4.37) follows from (4.18) and (4.19) with $j = \ell + 1$.

Next we prove (4.38). The first inequality is apparent. On the other hand, in order to get the second one, it suffices to show $d_2(v_{\ell+1}, v_\ell) \le C\varepsilon^{(p-1)b_\ell}$. When $\ell = 0$, it follows from (4.21) that

$$d_2(v_1, v_0) \le C(\varepsilon^q + \varepsilon^{(p-1)q}) \le C\varepsilon^{(p-1)q}$$

for $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$. While, when $\ell \ge 1$, it follows from (4.23) and (4.25) with $j = \ell$ that

$$d_2(v_{\ell+1}, v_\ell) \le C(\varepsilon^{B_{\ell-1}+q-1} + \varepsilon^{(p-1)b_\ell}).$$

Since

$$B_{\ell-1} + q - 1 = (p-1)b_\ell + (2-p)(p+q-1) > (p-1)b_\ell$$

for $\ell \ge 1$, we obatin the needed estimate. This completes the proof. □

The following estimate will play a basic role in proving that T is a contaction on D_ε.

Lemma 4.6 *Let* u_2, $u_2^* \in X^2(\kappa_2)$ *satisfy* $u_2 - u_2^* \in Z^2(a_{\ell+1})$ *and*

$$\|u_2\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} + \|u_2^*\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \leq C\varepsilon. \tag{4.39}$$

Then we have

$$\|R(G(u_2, u_2^*))\|_{Z^1(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})} \leq C\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u_2 - u_2^*\|_{Z^1(a_{\ell+1})} \tag{4.40}$$

$$\|R(G(u_2, u_2^*))\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})} \leq C\varepsilon^{p-1} d_2(u_2, u_2^*). \tag{4.41}$$

While, let u_1, $u_1^* \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$ *satisfy* $u_1 - u_1^* \in Z^2(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})$ *and*

$$\|u_1\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} + \|u_1^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} \leq C\varepsilon. \tag{4.42}$$

Then we have

$$\|R(H(u_1, u_1^*))\|_{Z^1(a_{\ell+2})} \leq C\varepsilon^{q-1}\|u_1 - u_1^*\|_{Z^1(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})}, \tag{4.43}$$

$$\|R(H(u_1, u_1^*))\|_{Z^2(a_{\ell+2})} \leq C\varepsilon^{q-1}\|u_1 - u_1^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})}. \tag{4.44}$$

Proof. First we prove (4.40). It follows from (4.27) with $j = \ell$, (4.28) and (4.39) that

$$M_0(G(u_2, u_2^*)) \leq C\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u_2 - u_2^*\|_{Z^1(a_{\ell+1})}$$

for $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = \kappa_1$, $\gamma = \kappa_1 a_{\ell+1} - \kappa_1$, and $\delta = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$. Applying (3.11) with $s = 1$ to $G(u_2, u_2^*)$, we get (4.40), because

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma - 1 = \kappa_1 a_{\ell+1} > 1, \tag{4.45}$$

by virtue of (2.29).

Next we prove (4.41). It follows from (4.31) with $j = \ell$, (4.39) that

$$M_1(G(u_2, u_2^*)) \leq C\varepsilon^{p-1} d_2(u_2, u_2^*)$$

for $\alpha = p - 1$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = \kappa_1 a_{\ell+1} - \kappa_1$, and $\delta = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$. Since (4.45) holds for these α, β and γ, we obtain (4.41) by (3.11) with $s = 2$.

Next we prove (4.43). It follows from (4.30) with $j = \ell + 1$ and (4.42) that

$$M_0(H(u_1, u_1^*)) \leq C\varepsilon^{q-1}\|u_1 - u_1^*\|_{Z^1(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})}$$

for $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = q - 1$, $\gamma = a_{\ell+2} + 1 - q$, and $\delta = q$. Since $\alpha + \beta + \gamma - 1 = a_{\ell+2} > 1$, by (3.11) with $s = 1$, we get (4.43).

Finally we prove (4.44). It follows from (4.33) with $j = \ell + 1$ and (4.42) that

$$M_1(H(u_1, u_1^*)) \leq C\varepsilon^{q-1}\|u_1 - u_1^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})}$$

for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = \delta = q$ and $\gamma = a_{\ell+2} + 1 - q$. Since $\alpha + \beta + \gamma - 1 = a_{\ell+2} > 1$, (3.11) with $s = 2$ yields (4.44). The proof is complete. □

Corollary 4.7 *Let* $(u_1, u_2) \in D_\varepsilon$ *with* $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$*. Then we have*

$$d_1(T^{(1)}(u_2), w_{\ell+1}) \le C\varepsilon^{(p-1)b_\ell + (p-1)^2}, \tag{4.46}$$

$$d_2(T^{(2)}(u_1), v_{\ell+1}) \le C\varepsilon^{(p-1)b_\ell + (p-1)(q-1)}. \tag{4.47}$$

Moreover, we have

$$d_1(T^{(1)}(u_2), T^{(1)}(u_2^*)) \le C\varepsilon^{(p-1)^2} d_2(u_2, u_2^*), \tag{4.48}$$

$$d_2(T^{(2)}(u_1), T^{(2)}(u_1^*)) \le C\varepsilon^{(p-1)(q-1)} d_1(u_1, u_1^*) \tag{4.49}$$

for (u_1, u_2)*,* $(u_1^*, u_2^*) \in D_\varepsilon$ *with* $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$*.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that if $(u_1, u_2) \in D_\varepsilon$ and $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$, then we have (4.37) and

$$\|u_1 - w_{\ell+1}\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})} + \|u_2 - v_\ell\|_{Z^2(a_{\ell+1})} \le C\varepsilon^{(p-1)b_\ell}, \tag{4.50}$$

$$\|u_1 - w_{\ell+1}\|_{Z^1(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1})} + \|u_2 - v_\ell\|_{Z^1(a_{\ell+1})} \le C\varepsilon^{b_\ell}. \tag{4.51}$$

We start with the proof of (4.46). By (4.35) we have $T^{(1)}(u_2) - w_{\ell+1} = R(G(u_2, v_\ell))$. Therefore, applying the preceding lemma, we get (4.46). Similarly, since $T^{(2)}(u_1) - v_{\ell+1} = R(H(u_1, w_{\ell+1}))$, we obtain (4.47).

Next we prove (4.48). By (4.35) we have $T^{(1)}(u_2) - T^{(1)}(u_2^*) = R(G(u_2, u_2^*))$. Since $d_2(u_2, u_2^*) \le 2\varepsilon^{(p-1)b_\ell}$ for (u_1, u_2), $(u_1^*, u_2^*) \in D_\varepsilon$, the preceding lemma shows (4.48). Similarly, we obtain (4.49). This completes the proof. □

End of the proof of Theorem 2.5. We see from Corollary 4.7 that there exists a positive number ε_0 depending only on p and q such that if $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, then we have $T(u_1, u_2) \in D_\varepsilon$ and

$$d(T(u_1, u_2), T(u_1^*, u_2^*)) \le 2^{-1} d((u_1, u_2), (u_1^*, u_2^*))$$

for (u_1, u_2), $(u_1^*, u_2^*) \in D_\varepsilon$, namely, T is a contaction on D_ε. Hence we find a unique solution $(u_1, u_2) \in D_\varepsilon$ of (4.34). Here and in what follows, we suppose that $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$ and (u_1, u_2) is the solution.

Next we prove (2.33). Since (4.19), (4.37) and (4.51) yield

$$\|u_2\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} + \|v_\ell\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \le C\varepsilon, \quad \|u_2 - v_\ell\|_{Z^1(a_{\ell+1})} \le C\varepsilon^{b_\ell}, \tag{4.52}$$

applying (4.40), we obtain

$$[R(G(u_2, v_\ell))(r,t)]_1 \le C\varepsilon^{p-1+b_\ell}(1+r+t)^{-(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1} - 1)}(1+|r-t|)^{-1}. \tag{4.53}$$

In view of (4.34), we have

$$\|(u_1 - w_{\ell+1})(t)\|_E \leq C\varepsilon^{p-1+b_\ell}(1+t)^{-(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1}-1)} \tag{4.54}$$

for $t \geq 0$. Similarly, by (4.18), (4.37), (4.51), and (4.43), we get

$$\|(u_2 - v_{\ell+1})(t)\|_E \leq C\varepsilon^{q-1+b_\ell}(1+t)^{-(a_{\ell+2}-1)} \tag{4.55}$$

for $t \geq 0$. Moreover, it follows from (4.21) and (4.23) that

$$[(v_{\ell+1} - v_0)(r,t)]_1 \leq C\varepsilon^q(1+r+t)^{-(\kappa_2-1)}(1+|r-t|)^{-1}, \tag{4.56}$$

so that

$$\|(v_{\ell+1} - v_0)(t)\|_E \leq C\varepsilon^q(1+t)^{-(\kappa_2-1)}. \tag{4.57}$$

Thus we obtain (2.33) from (4.54), (4.55) and (4.57).

Next we prove (2.34). Since $(w_{\ell+1}, \partial_t w_{\ell+1})(r,0) = \vec{f_1}(r)$, it suffices to prove

$$[R(G(u_2, v_\ell))(r,t)]_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{B_\ell}(1+r+t)^{-(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1}-1)}(1+|r-t|)^{-1}. \tag{4.58}$$

Using (4.31) and (4.52), we have

$$M_1(G(u_2, v_\ell)) \leq C(\varepsilon^{B_\ell} + \varepsilon^{p-1}\|u_2 - v_\ell\|_{Z^2(a_{\ell+1})})$$

for $\alpha = \kappa_1$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = \kappa_1 a_{\ell+1} - \kappa_1$, and $\delta = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$. It follows from (4.25) and (4.32) that

$$\varepsilon^{p-1}\|v_{\ell+1} - v_\ell\|_{Z^2(a_{\ell+1})} \leq C\varepsilon^{B_\ell}$$

for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. We see from (4.34), (4.35) and (4.47) that

$$\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u_2 - v_{\ell+1}\|_{Z^2(a_{\ell+1})} \leq C\varepsilon^{(p-1)b_\ell+(p-1)q}.$$

Since $(p-1)q = \kappa_2 + 1 > 2$, we therefore obtain

$$M_1(G(u_2, v_\ell)) \leq C\varepsilon^{B_\ell}$$

for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Since (4.45) is satisfied for those α, β, γ, and δ, applying (3.11) with $s = 2$ we get (4.58).

Finally we show (2.26), which follows from

$$\|u_2 - v_0\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \leq C\varepsilon^q. \tag{4.59}$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. By (4.33), (4.18) and (4.50) we have

$$M_1(H(u_1, w_{\ell+1})) \leq C\varepsilon^{q-1+(p-1)b_\ell} \leq C\varepsilon^q$$

for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = \delta = q$ and $\gamma = a_{\ell+2} + 1 - q$, since $(p-1)b_\ell \geq (p-1)q > 2$. Noting $\alpha + \beta + \gamma - 1 = a_{\ell+2} > 1$ and applying (3.11) with $s = 2$, we get

$$\|u_2 - v_{\ell+1}\|_{Z^2(a_{\ell+2})} \leq C\varepsilon^q,$$

in view of (4.34). While, we see from (4.21) and (4.25) that

$$\|v_{\ell+1} - v_0\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \leq C\varepsilon^q,$$

since $a_{j+1} \geq \kappa_2$ and $B_{j-1} \geq 1$ for $j \geq 1$. Therefore we obtain (4.59). Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2.5. □

Remark. When $p = 2$, Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 with $\ell = 0$ remain valid, in view of (2.19). We replace (4.36) by

$$D_\varepsilon = \{(u_1, u_2) \in X^2 \times X^2\,;\, \|u_1 - w_1\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} + \|u_2 - v_1\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \leq \varepsilon^q\}.$$

Notice that we have

$$\|R(G(u_2, u_2^*))\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \leq C\varepsilon\|u_2 - u_2^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)},$$

instead of (4.41), because $M_1(G(u_2, u_2^*)) \leq C\varepsilon\|u_2 - u_2^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)}$ for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 2$, $\gamma = \kappa_2 - 1$, and $\delta = 1 + \kappa_2$ (remark that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma - 1 = \kappa_2 > 1$). Prodceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we find the desired conclusion stated after Theorem 2.4.

Next we prove Theorem 2.6. Similarly to the proofs of Corollary 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, one can establish the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8 *Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $\vec{\varphi}_i \in Y_{\kappa_i}(\varepsilon)$ with $i = 1, 2$. Then $w_j^* \in Z^2(\kappa_1)$, $v_j^* \in X^2(\kappa_2)$, and we have*

$$\|w_j^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1)} + \|v_j^*\|_{X^2(\kappa_2)} \leq C\varepsilon \tag{4.60}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq \ell$. Moreover, $u_1 - w_j^* \in Z^2(\kappa_1 a_j)$, $u_2 - v_j^* \in Z^2(a_{j+1})$, and we have

$$\|u_1 - w_j^*\|_{Z^1(\kappa_1 a_j)} \leq C\varepsilon^{b_{j-1}+p-1}, \quad \|u_2 - v_j^*\|_{Z^1(a_{j+1})} \leq C\varepsilon^{b_j}, \tag{4.61}$$

$$\|u_1 - w_j^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_1 a_j)} \leq C\varepsilon^{B_{j-1}}, \quad \|u_2 - v_j^*\|_{Z^2(a_{j+1})} \leq C\varepsilon^{B_{j-1}+q-1} \tag{4.62}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, together with

$$\|u_2 - v_0^*\|_{Z^2(\kappa_2)} \leq C\varepsilon^q. \tag{4.63}$$

Here b_k and B_k are defined in Lemma 4.4.

End of the proof of Theorem 2.6. Let $(u_1, u_2) \in Z^2(\kappa_1) \times X^2(\kappa_2)$ be the unique solution of (2.12) satisfying (2.38).

In order to prove (2.45), it suffices to show

$$[R(G(u_2, v_\ell^*))(r,t)]_1 \le C\varepsilon^{p-1+b_\ell}(1+r+t)^{-(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1}-1)}(1+|r-t|)^{-1},$$

in view of (2.41) and (2.44). The needed estimate can be deduced from (2.38), (4.60), (4.61), and (4.63), similarly to (4.53).

Next we show (2.47). By (2.41) and (2.42), it is enough to prove

$$[R(G(u_2, v_\ell^*))(r,t)]_2 \le C\varepsilon^{B_\ell}(1+r+t)^{-(\kappa_1 a_{\ell+1}-1)}(1+|r-t|)^{-1}.$$

Similarly to (4.58), we obtain the desired estimate from (2.38), (4.60), (4.62), and (4.63).

Finally we show (2.46) and (2.17). Since it follows from (2.44) that $u_2 - K[\vec{f_2}] = u_2 - v_0^*$, we see that (2.46) and (2.17) are consequences of (4.63). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6. $\square$

Acknowledgement

The research of the first author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research (20224013), JSPS.

References

[1] K. Deng, Blow-up of solutions of some nonlinear hyperbolic systems, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **29** (1999), 807–820.

[2] J. Ginibre and T. Ozawa, Long range scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger and Hartree equations in space dimension $n \ge 2$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **151** (1993), 619–645.

[3] N. Hayashi and T. Ozawa, Modified wave operators for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Math. Ann.* **298** (1994), 557–576.

[4] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, Final state problem for Korteweg-de Vries type equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (2006), 123501, 16 pp.

[5] H. Kubo, K. Kubota and H. Sunagawa, Large time behavior of solutions to semilinear systems of wave equations, *Math. Ann.* **335** (2006), 435–478.

[6] P.D. Lax and R.S. Phillips, “Scattering theory”, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.

[7] T. Ozawa, Long range scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **139** (1991), 479–493.

[8] J. Wirth, Scattering and modified scattering for abstract wave equations with time-dependent dissipation, *Adv. Differential Equations* **12** (2007), 1115–1133.